POWER ACTION ITEMS LIST

CVP - Informal Rates Process (June 10, 2008 to February 26, 2009) Issues & Questions From Past Meetings

		Issues & Questions From Past Meetings								
Meeting De	Item #	Type	TODIC / ISSUE / CONCERN	Rate Section Applicable	Requestor	Date Requested	Dates Addressed	Forecasted Completio n Date	Status	Resolution, Notes
	IP 4.2	A	PRR Mid-year adjustment: Option E. Possible PRR options - "Prepare examples of Option B & E blended." (Melinda's Presentation)	PRR	David Cohen, Ed roman	10/30/2008	11/20/2008, 4/29/2010	Customers requested hold until after MRTU implementatio n.		Customers suggested an alternative option labelled Option E. Staff added option E and analysis to be discussed on 11/20/2008. The decision was delayed on 11/20/2008 until MRTU implementation, and assess the related potential increase, change to threshold & where to put prior year capital repayment deficit/surplus. This action item will now move to the formal process. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/ratesprocess/informalProcess/Docs/Nation9/420Items
30-Oct-08	IP 17.5	I	After the fact true up \$ to FP (Tom. K)	PU Vs FP	Tom Kabat	2/26/2009	10/30/2009	10/30/2009	Closed	sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Action%20Item %20IP%] This item was discussed at the October 30,
26-Feb-09	IP 19	A	25 - 75 Split for allocation of annual BR RR - Discuss with settlements & others in Western and determine a polling method to decide on customer preference.	BR RR		10/30/2008	10/07/2008 10/30/2008, 4/29/2010	Customers requested hold until after MRTU implementatio n.		2009 Informal Rtaes meeting. Discussed first on 10/7/2008. All parties concurred the current methodology works and continue the current 25/75 allocation. Revisisted 10/30/2008. Staff requested that a 12 month average be considered in order to improve bill crediting and use of reciepts.
										Comments by Tom Kabat: Palo Alto (Tom Kabat) supports Western allocating the BR RR evenly over 12 monthly periods. Palo Alto (Tom Kabat) supports Western adjusting the mid-year to reflect a true up of the previous year's project repayment. Also, Palo Alto supports reducing the threshold for the mid-year review of the PRR to around \$500,000. Internal analysis on this issue shows that it is
30-Oct-08	IP 1	7	The allocation of certain costs to Base (aka Variable)		Nannette	6/10/2008	2/26/2009	2/26/2009	Completed	more beneficial to continue to implement the 25-75% split billing methodology. Follow up requested on breakdown and
10-Jun-08		-	Resource customers (e.g. Western staff time spent on MRTU or IBAA issues)	01/000000000000000000000000000000000000	Engelbrite	0,10,2000	2) 20) 2003	Meeting	completed	allocation of costs. New Action Items (IP 14.5, IP 14.6, IP 14.7, IP 14.8) http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rates process/informalProcess/Docs/MRTU%20costs %20customer%20handout%20FINAL%202.26. 09.pdf
10-Jun-08	IP 2	I	Allocation of Sub Balancing Authority (SBA) costs		Nannette Engelbrite	6/10/2008	10/7/2008	2/26/2009 Meeting	<u>-</u>	Follow up requested on breakdown and allocation of costs. http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rates process/informalProcess/Docs/SCA%20costs%20customer%20handout%20FINAL%20Feb%2026,%202009.pdf
	IP 3	I	Reclamation O&M Allocation to Power	PRR	Collective Group Request	6/10/2008	7/09/2008 7/23/2008	7/9/08 Meeting & was addressed on 10/07/2008 Meeting	·	BOR- Presentation By Craig Stroh (7/09/2008). Western -Presentation By Janice Nations (7/23/2008) Follow on discussion questions pertaining to IP 11.1, IP 11.2, IP 8 [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/ratesProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Reclamation's% 20Presentation%20for%2010-7-08%20Rates%20Meeting.pdf]
10-Jun-08	IP 4.1	A	Western will consider any alternative repayment plan option or proposals received by customers (PRS & Project Repayment)		Collective Group Request	6/10/2008	10/30/2008	10/30/2008	Completed	As a result of discussions, Option E was presented by customers. Reference IP 4.2 [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Action%20Item%20IP%204[1].2Follow%20up%20to%2010-30-08%20Mid%20Year%20Review-Option%20E.pdf]
10-Jun-08	IP 5	A	Provide Informal Rate meeting Materials to customers in advance of meeting (if possible)		Collective Group Request	6/10/2008	10/30/2008	7/8/2008	Completed	Relevant meeting materials are posted on Rates webpage. Also, documents are emailed to customers prior to meetings. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate
10-Jun-08	IP 6	A	Post Informal Rate meeting materials (i.e. PowerPoint presentations, spreadsheets, meeting summary, etc) to the Western website and/or email to customers.		Collective Group Request	6/10/2008	7/8/2008	7/8/2008	Completed	Relevant meeting materials are posted on Rates webpage. Also, documents are emailed to customers prior to meetings. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate
10-Jun-08	IP 7	A	Request the BOR to come in & assist with cost allocation flow chart; including cost and volume differences.		Kent Palmerton	7/9/2008	7/8/2008	7/9/08 Meeting & was addressed on 10/07/2008 Meeting		SProcess/informalProcess/index.asp] Western - Presentation by Janice Nations (7/23/2008). PRR handouts, historical amounts since January 2005 showing the detailed breakdowns for both Reclamation and Westerns O&M's. Cost Allocation Flowchart from 7/09/08 meeting posted on Rates website. Topic addressed at 7/23/08 meeting and BOR follow on discussions with BOR at 10/07/08 meeting associated with IP 11.1, IP 11.2, IP 8, IP 13. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Reclamation's% 20Presentation%20for%2010-7-08%20Rates%20Meeting.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/PRR%20file%2 Ofor%20Oct%207th%20Informal%20Rate%20 Meeting%20update%2010.7.08%20updated.xl s] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Flow%20chart%20for%20all%20of%20rates%20RR%20as%20for%20all%20of%20rates%20RR%20as%20for%20allw20of%20rates%20RR%20alleddashadashadashadashadashadashadashadas
	IP 9	A	Consider and analyze options for an annual financial true-up for the FP customers.		Nannette Engelbrite, Rick Coleman, Bill Stewart	7/9/2008	10/07/2008 10/30/2008	10/07/2008 10/30/2008		20of%20July%2021,%202008%20JPEG%20ve reion ing? Addressed at two meetings, and all parties agreed to the true up option. All parties agreed to continue the midyear adjusted threshold amount for FP of 1/2 of 1%.
										[http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/First%20Prefere nce%20True%20Up%20Draft%20Alternative_1 0-3-08.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate
9-Jul-08										sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/March_Mid_Year Review.pdf]

POWER ACTION ITEMS LIST

CVP - Informal Rates Process (June 10, 2008 to February 26, 2009) Issues & Questions From Past Meetings

			Issues	s & Questio	ns From I	ast mee	tings		
Meeting Da	Item #	Type Topic / Issue / Concern	Rate Section Applicable	Requestor	Date Requested	Dates Addressed	Forecasted Completio n Date	Status	Resolution, Notes
N. Control of the con	IP 10.1 Originally IP 10	A Provide an analysis of the cost allocation difference between PU & FP	PU Vs FP		7/9/2008	10/07/2008 10/30/2008 11/20/2008	10/07/2008 11/20/2008	·	2007 Comparison presented at the meeting on 10/07/2008 and 10/30/2008. Data for FY 2006 was presented on 11/20/2008 which showed that 2006 was not an anomaly.
									[http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20for%2010-30-08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Rev_%2011-12-08%20FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20from%2010-30-08%20Inff%20Pates%20Mtg. S1.pdf]
									08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg_S1.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Rev_%2011-12- 08%20FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20from %2010-30- 08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg_S2.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/FP%20vs%20P U%20FY%202006%20for%20Nov%2020,%20
9-Jul-08	IP 10.2	A O&M Allocation for First Preference & Project Use Customers using actual data from year 2006 to provide comparison to 2007 studies. (see IP 10.1)	PU Vs FP	Rick Coleman	10/30/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008		Presented at 10/30/2008 meeting. Follow up to IP 10.1. Data for FY 2006 was presented on 11/20/2008 which showed that 2006 was not an anomaly. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate
30-Oct-08	IP 8 Related to 11.1 and	A Review Project Use's allocation of operation & maintenance from Reclamation.	PU	Rick Coleman, David Cohen	7/9/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008	Completed	sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/FP%20vs%20P U%20FY%202006%20for%20Nov%2020,%20 2008%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg%20updated%2 FY06 True Up (Reclamation O&M) - Explanation of PU formula worksheet used to allocate O&M costs to PU customers. Presentation by Craig
	11.2								Stroh & Tom Ruthford on 10/7/08 meeting. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/FP%20percenta ge%20formula.xls] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Reclamation's%20Presentation%20for%2010-7-08%20Rates%20Meeting.pdf]
9-Jul-08	IP 10.3	A Check RA costs on First Preference Vs Project Use	PU Vs FP	Rick Coleman	10/30/2008	10/7/2008	10/30/2008 11/20/2008	Completed	Revised sheet presented was provided on 11/20/2008 with updated changes.
									[http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Rev_%2011-12-08%20FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20from %2010-30-08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg_S1.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Rev_%2011-12-08%20FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20from %2010-30-08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg_S2.pdf]
30-Oct-08	IP 10.4	Removal of PU Transmission Expenses form PU Vs FP Comparison	PU Vs FP	Rick Coleman	10/30/2008	10/7/2008	10/30/2008 11/20/2008		Revised sheet presented was provided on 11/20/2008 with updated changes. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Rev_%2011-12-08%20FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20from %2010-30-08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg_S1.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Rev_%2011-12-08%20FP%20vs%20PU%20Handouts%20from %2010-30-08%20Inf%20Rates%20Mtg_S2.pdf]
	IP 11.1 Related to 8	O&M Allocation: the percentage used to allocate multipurpose expenses on Schedule 25 change each year; can it be explained why and how they change. (Reference the Schedule 25 handout).	PRR	Tom Kabat, Rick Coleman	7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008		Presentation by Craig Stroh & Tom Ruthford on 10/7/08 meeting. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Reclamation's% 20Presentation%20for%2010-7-
23-Jul-08	IP 11.2 Related to 8	A Can the allocation formulation from #1 above be made available to customers (possibly in an excel spread sheet) and explain the formulation.		Tom Kabat	7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008	Completed	Presentation by Craig Stroh & Tom Ruthford on 10/7/08 meeting. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Reclamation's%
23-Jul-08	IP 12	A Ask The Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) for the Excel version of Schedule 25; and who is responsible for Quality Control & Quality Assurance (QC/QA) of the		Tom Kabat	7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008	Completed	20Presentation%20for%2010-7- 08%20Pates% 20Monting pdf! Numbers are hard coded so the formulas cannot be seen. The associated work sheets cannot be made public.
23-Jul-08	IP 13	percentages used within the Schedule 25. Ask BOR to explain change from original percentage		Tom Kabat	7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008		O& M Cost Allocation to Power presentation by
		allocation of plant to today's percentage allocation (i.e. annual percent adjustment). Explain difference and how it is allocated. Use Folsom plant figures for original and 2006 to 2007 as an example.							Craig Stroh at 10/7/08 meeting. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Reclamation's% 20Presentation%20for%2010-7-08%20Rates%20Meeting.pdf]
23-Jul-08	IP 14.1	A Consider adding transmission systems to footnote 12 on Handout B (PRR Worksheet). Check with Koji on	PRR		7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008	Completed	Requested change has been made to the PRR worksheets shown on October 7th.
23-Jul-08		info that can be made available to ensure that we're operating within FERC's Standards of Conduct (SOC).							[http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/PRR%20file%2 0for%20Oct%207th%20Informal%20Rate%20 Meeting%20update%2010.7.08%20updated.xl

POWER ACTION ITEMS LIST

CVP - Informal Rates Process (June 10, 2008 to February 26, 2009) Issues & Questions From Past Meetings

					s & Questio	IIS FIUIII I	rast Mee	_		
Meeting Dr	Item #	Type I/A/C	TODIC / ISSUE / CONCERN	Rate Section Applicable	Requestor	Date Requested	Dates Addressed	Forecasted Completion Date		Resolution, Notes
W.	IP 14.2	A	Add a project use column showing to which Western costs project use revenues are applied.	PRR	David Cohen, Rick Coleman	7/23/2008	10/30/2008, 11/20/2008	11/14/2008		Requested change has been made to the PRR worksheets presented on October 7th. Additional work requested on 10/30/2008 and the updated file was provided on 11/14/2008. The file is now posted under the Nov 20th meeting handouts. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate
23-Jul-08	IP 14.3	A	Provide additional descriptions for select line items for	PRR	Tom Kabat	7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008		sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/PRR%20file%2 0for%] Requested change has been made to the PRR
			the PRR worksheet provided at the meeting; also provide additional detail in the footnotes.							worksheets shown on October 7th . [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rates/rocess/informalProcess/Docs/PRR%20file%2
23-Jul-08	IP 14.4	4	Look at MRTU related costs such as O&M and	PRR	Kent Palmerton	7/23/2008	2/26/2009	2/26/2009		Ofor%20Oct%207th%20Informal%20Rate%20 Meeting%20update%2010.7.08%20updated.xl Follow up requested on breakdown and
23-Jul-08			Reliability etc., describe where those costs flow – i.e. where are they included in the PRR worksheet (cost of service in vs. out).					Meeting	·	allocation of costs. New Action Items (IP 14.5, IP 14.6, IP 14.7, IP 14.8)
	IP 14.5	<i>A</i>	Market Redesign and Technology Upgrade (MRTU), Sub Balancing Authority (SBA), & Svheduling Coordinator (SC) related costs are allocated to which customers, what purposes, i.e, Full Load Service (FLS), SBA, Project Use (PU), First Preference (FP)? What costs are directly assingned?	MRTU , SBA,	Nannette Engelbrite	2/26/2009	10/29/2009	10/29/2009		Sonja Anderson, Power Marketing Manager, presented the analysis for the cost and allocation methodology for determining the SC & PM contract charge. While this contract charge is not part of the rate process, Western's Management decided that this forum would be appropriate venue to discuss the development and determination of this charge. No other issues are outstanding pertaining to this category. Applicable to IP 14.5, 14.6, 14.7, and 14.8
26-Feb-09	TD 14.6		MDTIL CDA 9. CC: Unfront us. Opening cost allocation	MDTU CDA	Kant Dalmartan	2/26/2000	10/20/2000	10/20/2000	Completed	Coo commont for ID 14 F
26-Feb-09	IP 14.6 IP 14.7	A	MRTU, SBA & SC: Upfront vs. Ongoing cost allocation splits - tracking costs - cost causation MRTU, SBA & SC: Allocation of WAPA actions resulting in CAISO costs not specific to a customer SCID	SC	Kent Palmerton Kent Palmerton	2/26/2009	10/29/2009	10/29/2009	·	See comment for IP 14.5. See comment for IP 14.5.
26-Feb-09	IP 14.8	A	MRTU, SBA & SC: Develop an accounting mechanism		Nannette	2/26/2009	10/29/2009	10/29/2009	Completed	See comment for IP 14.5.
26-Feb-09	IP 14.9	A	for above items (Nanette. E) Provide more detail on SCIDS associated with line 9 of the PRR (footnote section).		Engelbrite Rick Coleman	7/23/2008	10/7/2008	10/7/2008	Completed	Requested change has been made to the PRR worksheets shown on October 7th .
23-Jul-08	IP 14.10	A	PRR: Revised column F showing how you get the numbers form Reclamations handout to the numbers	PRR	Rick Coleman	10/30/2008	11/20/2008	11/20/2008	Completed	[http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/PU%20revs%2 0applied%20to%20PRR%20(applicable%20to%20IP%2014[1].5).pdf] Requested change has been made and provided to the customers.
30-Oct-08			on our PRR example.							[http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/PRR%20file%2 0for%20Oct%207th%20Informal%20Rate%20 Meeting%20update%2010.7.08%20updated.xl s] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/PU%20revs%2 0applied%20to%20PRR%20(applicable%20to%20IP%2014[1].5).pdf]
23-Jul-08	IP 15	<i>A</i>	Project Use vs. First Preference showing percentage of project use share, formula, allocated cost (similar to the Reclamation O&M handout). Note: this was not on the board, but was the last question asked before meeting adjourned.		Rick Coleman	7/23/2008	10/30/2008	10/30/2008		Melinda's presentation for 2007. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/FP_vs_PU_Hand outs_for_10-30-08_Inf_Rates_Mtg.pdf]
	IP 17.1	7	Why is FP treated different than PU for PU Power Purchase since FP only pays costs but gets no benefits.?	PU Vs FP	Rick Coleman	10/30/2008	2/26/2009 Meeting	2/26/2009 Meeting		Follow - up requested. New Action Items (IP 17.1, IP 17.2, IP 17.3, IP 17.4) http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rates process/informalProcess/Docs/Forward%20Project%20Use%20Purchases_2-26-09.pdf
30-Oct-08	IP 17.1.1	I	FP takes % of sub-allocation amount BOR pays for PUP (currently fixed at \$345k)	PU Vs FP	Rick Coleman	2/26/2009	TBD	TBD		This is a sub-item of the of IP 17.1. Follow - up requested. New Action Items (IP 17.1, IP 17.2, IP 17.3, IP 17.4) http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rates process/informalProcess/Docs/Forward%20Project%20Use%20Purchases_2-26-09.pdf
26-Feb-09	IP 17.2	I	Max Peaking PU Purchase presentation benefits all preference customers.	PU Vs FP	SNR Staff	10/30/2008	11/20/2008	I1/20/2008		Max peaking methodology (graph) was presented and discussed by Tom Patton. There were no comments or issues expressed at the time. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Action%20Item%20IP%2017.2_11-22-
30-Oct-08	IP 17.3	I	Based on Greenbook data update for generation &	PU Vs FP		2/26/2009	1/29/2010	1/29/2010	Completed	08_DayGen%202DA%20Total%20Load%20to %20Controllers.pdf] The Green Book is being updated by the
26-Feb-09	IP 17.4	7	load (How is data used?) FP is pro rata load ratio of BOR load	PU Vs FP		2/26/2009	TBD	TBD	Open	customers, and the outcome/data of the update is used in the FP calculations. The Green Book is updated by BOR via the
26-Feb-09	1 F 1 F 1 F		TO FALL TOAG FALLO OF BOX TOAG	, ∪ v3 l f		<i>2)</i>	יטטיו	טטיו		sub allocation, and hence any further questions related to it should be differred to them. Also, for FY08, the total load for all First Preference is 165 GWh and the total Project Use load is 923 GWh.
30-Oct-08 * Notes:	IP 21	A	Hourly Exchange (HE) program implementation and allocation methodology			10/30/2008	10/30/2008	10/30/2008	Completed	The Hourly Excahnge program was dicussed at the 10/30/2008 meeting. [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Hourly_Exchang e_10-30-08.pdf] [http://www.wapa.gov/sn/marketing/rates/rate sProcess/informalProcess/Docs/Action%20Item%20IP%2017.2_11-22-08_DayGen%202DA%20Total%20Load%20to%20Controllers.pdf]
	I/A/C - Issue/ / IP - Informal P		Concern							
	, cce m (S.1)									